Preparation and Characterization of New Room Temperature Ionic Liquids

Luís C. Branco,^[a] João N. Rosa,^[a] Joaquim J. Moura Ramos,^[b] and Carlos A. M. Afonso^{*[a]}

Abstract: A new series $[C_n O_m \min][X]$ of imidazolium cation-based room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs), with ether and alcohol functional groups on the alkyl side-chain has been prepared. Some physical properties of these RTILs were measured, namely solubility in common solvents, viscosity and density. The solubility of LiCl, HgCl₂ and LaCl₃ in room temperature ionic liquids was also determined. The features of the

solid – liquid phase transition were analysed, namely the glass transition temperature and the heat capacity jump associated with the transition from the non-equilibrium glass to the metastable supercooled liquid. These properties

Keywords: alkylation • green chemistry • ionic liquids • phase transitions • solvent effects were compared with those reported for the 1-*n*-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium $[C_n mim][X]$ series. While the density and solid – liquid phase transition properties are similar for both series, the new RTILs present a considerably lower viscosity and an increased ability to dissolve HgCl₂ and LaCl₃ (up to 16 times higher).

Introduction

Although known since the beginning of the 21st century, only recently have room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) attracted significant and growing interest, especially those based upon the 1-n-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium cation.^[1, 2] They have intrinsically useful properties, such as thermal stability, high ionic conductivity, negligible vapour pressure and a large electrochemical window. Depending on the anion and alkyl group of the imidazolium cation, the RTILs can solubilise carbonyl compounds, alcohols, alkyl halides, supercritical CO₂ (scCO₂), and also transition metal complexes. Furthermore, they can have low miscibility with dialkyl ethers, alkanes, water and can be insoluble in scCO₂.^[1, 3, 4] The RTILs can be used as an alternative recyclable and environmentally benign reaction media for chemical processes. Examples of their usefulness in biocatalysis^[5] and catalysis have been reported, for example hydroformylation, hydrogenation, olefin oligomerisation, Heck reactions, alkylation, Friedel-Crafts reactions, Diels-Alder reactions and Baylis-Hillman reactions.^[6] Moreover, RTILs have successfully replaced

[a]	Prof. C. A. M. Afonso, L. C. Branco, J. N. Rosa					
	Departamento de Química					
	Centro de Química Fina e Biotecnologia					
	Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia					
	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica (Portugal)					
	Fax: (+351)21-294-8550					
	E-mail: cma@dq.fct.unl.pt					
[b]	Prof. J. J. Moura Ramos					
	Centro de Química-Fisica Molecular, Complexo-I					
	Instituto Superior Técnico					
	Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa (Portugal)					

traditional organic solvents (OS) in aqueous-OS biphasic systems, including selective extraction of metal ions^[7, 8] and for OS-scCO₂ extraction.^[9, 10] Applications have also been found in different areas, including gas chromatography^[11] as stationary phases, in electrochemistry^[12] as solvents and electrolytes, and in pervaporation.^[13]

Future applications of RTILs to other important processes may require the synthesis of new ionic liquids with suitable properties. For instance, improved solubility of more specific solutes including very polar substrates or catalysts may be called for. This can be achieved by including different substituent groups R with potential complexation properties in the basic ionic liquid structure.

Herein, we report the preparation of a new series of ionic liquids $[C_n O_m mim][X]$, based on the imidazolium cation that contains additional functional groups, such as ether and alcohol, on the alkyl group R. This new series of RTILs was prepared, characterised, and some physical properties were studied.

Results and Discussion

The structures of the ionic liquids $[C_n O_m \min][X]$ prepared and studied in the present work are shown in Table 1. A previously reported methodology^[1, 14] was used for the preparation of these new ionic liquids. Alkylation of methylimidazole with an alkyl halide is followed by halogen (Cl⁻ or Br⁻) exchange with slight excess (1.1 equiv) KPF₆, NaBF₄ or NaCF₃CO₂ (NaTFA) in order to reduce the amount of remaining halogen content. The volatile components are then

- 3671

Table 1. Structure of room temperature ionic liquids of the series $[C_nO_m mim][X]$.

	∽N _≫ N _R X ⁻	
Ionic liquid	Cation	Anion
	R	X-
[C ₂ OHmim][Cl]	$(CH_2)_2OH$	Cl-
[C ₂ OHmim][PF ₆]	$(CH_2)_2OH$	PF_6^-
[C ₂ OHmim][BF ₄]	$(CH_2)_2OH$	$\mathrm{BF_4}^-$
[C ₂ OHmim][TFA]	$(CH_2)_2OH$	$CF_3CO_2^-$
[C ₃ Omim][Cl]	$(CH_2)_2OMe$	Cl-
[C ₃ Omim][PF ₆]	(CH ₂) ₂ OMe	PF_6^-
[C ₃ Omim][BF ₄]	$(CH_2)_2OMe$	$\mathrm{BF_4}^-$
[C ₄ OHmim][Br]	$(CH_2)_4OH$	Br^{-}
[C ₅ O ₂ mim][Cl]	$(CH_2)_2O(CH_2)_2OMe$	Cl-
[C ₅ O ₂ mim][PF ₆]	$(CH_2)_2O(CH_2)_2OMe$	PF_6^-
[C ₅ O ₂ mim][BF ₄]	$(CH_2)_2O(CH_2)_2OMe$	\mathbf{BF}_4^-

⊕,∕¯, _v⊖

removed by stirring the RTIL at 70–90 °C for 24–48 h under vacuum. Stirring is essential, otherwise removal of the volatile components is incomplete as a result of slow diffusion of the volatile molecules in the viscous RTIL. The remaining water content in the RTIL is higher in case of BF₄⁻ anion [2.3 to 4.7 µg (H₂O)mL⁻¹ (RTIL)] than for PF₆⁻ [0.9 to 2.0 µg (H₂O)mL⁻¹ (RTIL)] which is in agreement with the observed contents for the [C_nmim][X] series.^[3c] The samples used for microanalysis and calorimetric experiments were further purified by filtration through silica and left under high vacuum (6 × 10⁻⁶ mbar) at room temperature in order to remove traces of halogen and volatile components, respectively.

All new RTILs were obtained in medium-to-high yields (75-95%). They are stable in air, water and in other common organic solvents, such as ethers, hexane, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate and ethanol. No decomposition was observed in the range of temperatures tested (up to 90°C).

Some physical properties of this new series of RTILs were studied, namely their solubility in some common organic solvents and in water, solubility of inorganic salts in the RTIL, viscosity and density. The analysis of the solid–liquid phase transition allowed the determination of the glass transition temperature, T_g , and of the heat capacity jump, ΔC_p associated with the glass transition. Some of these properties were compared with those previously reported for the RTIL series $[C_n \min][X]$ (n = 4, 6, 8 and 10) containing different anions ($X^- = Cl^-$, PF_6^- and BF_4^-).

Solubility of RTILs in some solvents: In Table 2 we present the solubilities of the new RTILs $[C_nO_m\min][X]$ together with those of with the RTIL series $[C_n\min][X]$. It can be observed that the new RTILs $[C_2OH\min][PF_6]$, $[C_2OH^$ mim][BF₄], $[C_2OH\min][TFA]$, $[C_3O\min][PF_6]$ and $[C_3O\min][BF_4]$ (entries 1–5) are miscible with water, as is the case for the RTIL $[C_4\min][BF_4]$ (entry 9). For the others, RTILs (entries 6–8 and 10–12) the solubility in water was determined by UV spectroscopy and it was found that the RTILs with PF₆⁻ anion have lower solubility in water when compared to those with the BF₄⁻ anion. The RTIL $[C_5O_2\min][PF_6]$ has lower solubility in water than the RTIL

Table 2. Solubility of new RTILs in some common solvents.

Entry	Ionic liquid	$H_2O[gL^{-1}]$	Et_2O	EtOAc	EtOH ^[a]
1	[C ₂ OHmim][PF ₆]	misc	imisc	pm	misc
2	[C ₂ OHmim][BF ₄]	misc	imisc	pm	misc
3	[C ₂ OHmim][TFA]	misc	imisc	pm	misc
4	[C ₃ Omim][PF ₆]	misc	imisc	pm	pm
5	[C ₃ Omim][BF ₄]	misc	imisc	pm	pm
6	$[C_5O_2mim][PF_6]$	0.38 ^[b]	imisc	imisc	pm
7	$[C_5O_2mim][BF_4]$	0.43 ^[b]	imisc	imisc	pm
8	[C ₄ mim][PF ₆]	0.57 ^[b]	imisc	imisc	misc
9	$[C_4 mim][BF_4]$	misc	imisc	imisc	misc
10	$[C_8 mim][PF_6]$	0.22 ^[b]	imisc	imisc	misc
11	$[C_8 mim][BF_4]$	0.30 ^[b]	imisc	imisc	misc
12	$[C_{10}mim][BF_4]$	0.59 ^[b]	imisc	imisc	misc

[a] Ethanol 96% (v/v). [b] Determined by UV spectroscopy; misc: miscible; imisc: immiscible; pm: partially miscible.

 $[C_4 mim][PF_6]$ (entry 6 vs entry 8). Among all RTILs studied, $[C_8 mim][PF_6]$ presents the lowest water solubility (entry 10). In ethyl acetate, the RTILs of entries 1–5 are partially miscible while the others (entries 6–12) are immiscible. On the other hand, in 96% ethanol (ν/ν) the RTILs of entries 4–7 are partially miscible while all the others (entries 1–3 and 8– 12) are miscible. Additionally, all RTILs studied are immiscible in diethyl ether, and miscible in acetone and in dichloromethane. They also show low solubility in hexane and petroleum ether.

In general, the introduction of hydroxyl or ether functional groups in the alkyl chain considerably modifies the solubility behaviour, while modification of the anion (PF_6^-, BF_4^-, TFA^-) does not seem to have any significant influence.

Viscosity: Table 3 gives viscosity data for some RTILs at different temperatures (10, 20 and 30 °C). From this data it can be concluded that both RTIL series (the new $[C_nO_m mim][X]$ and the $[C_n mim][X]$) display qualitatively similar viscosity behaviour.

Table 3. Viscosity (cP) data for several RTILs at different temperatures.

Entry	Ionic liquid	<i>cP</i> (30°C)	<i>cP</i> (20 °C)	<i>cP</i> (10°C)
1	[C ₂ OHmim][PF ₆]	82.7	148.8	279.5
2	[C ₂ OHmim][BF ₄]	70.9 (25 °C)	90.9	157.6
3	[C ₃ Omim][PF ₆]	148.1	283.6	607.5
4	$[C_3Omim][BF_4]$	138.0	262.8	374.3
5	$[C_5O_2mim][Cl]$	283.7	613.4	1515.8
6	$[C_5O_2mim][PF_6]$	212.3	425.8	1034.9
7	$[C_5O_2mim][BF_4]$	189.2	377.0	860.4
8	$[C_4 mim][PF_6]$	172.8 ^[a]	308.3 ^[b]	615.0
9	$[C_4 mim][BF_4]$	65.2 ^[c]	104.9 ^[d]	185.9
10	[C ₈ mim][Cl]	337.0 (25 °C)		
11	$[C_8 mim][PF_6]$	425.2 ^[e]	857.4 ^[f]	1922.4
12	$[C_8 mim][BF_4]$	82.1	135.0	294.3
13	$[C_{10}mim][BF_4]$	223.1	416.6	846.3
14	$[C_2 mim][BF_4]$	43.0 ^[g]	66.5 ^[g]	
15	[C ₆ mim][Cl]	716.0 (25 °C) ^[g]		
16	$[C_6 mim][PF_6]$	363.0 ^[g]	680.0 ^[g]	
17	$[C_6 mim][BF_4]$	177.0 ^[g]	314.0 ^[g]	

[a] 204.0;^[15] [b] 371.0;^[15] [c] 91.4;^[15] [d] 154.0;^[15] [e] 452.0;^[15] [f] 866.0;^[15] [g] literature values.^[15, 16]

3672 -

The viscosity of ionic liquids is essentially influenced by their hydrogen bonding ability and by the strength of their van der Waals interactions, being strongly dependent of the anion type. We observe that all the RTILs containing the BF₄⁻ anion have lower viscosity than the RTILs with PF₆⁻ anion, which is in agreement with reported data.^[3e] This difference is even more noticeable at the lower temperature (10 °C). For example, in the [C_nmim][PF₆] series, RTILs with PF₆⁻ have viscosities that are more than twice the value of the viscosity of RTILs with BF₄⁻ (entries 8, 11, 16 vs 9, 12, 17). This significant difference of viscosities between PF₆⁻ and BF₄⁻ anions is less pronounced for the [C_nO_mmim][X] series (entries 1, 3, 6 vs 2, 4, 7).

The structure of the cation also influences the viscosity of the ionic liquid. In our studies we concluded that the viscosity of the RTIL [C₂OHmim][PF₆] is less than half as large as the RTILs [C₃Omim][PF₆] and [C₄mim][PF₆] (entry 1 vs entries 3 and 8). The RTIL [C₂OHmim][BF₄] presents an intermediary viscosity between the RTILs [C₂mim][BF₄] and [C₄mim][BF₄] (entry 14 < entry 2 < entry 9). The viscosity of the RTIL [C₅O₂mim][Cl] is lower than the viscosity of the RTILs [C₆mim][Cl] and [C₈mim][Cl] (entry 5 vs entries 15 and 10).

In Figure 1 we present the viscosity of some RTILs plotted as a function of the temperature, which allows a better comparison between the RTILs.

From this study, we can conclude that ionic liquids display a very large range of viscosities, depending on the cation/anion combination. On the other hand, the temperature coefficient of the viscosity at room temperature (that expresses the activation energy for the viscous flow) is high when compared to "normal" solvents.

From the data displayed in Figure 1, it can be concluded that with regard to the viscosity, the best RTILs are $[C_2OHmim][PF_6]$, $[C_2OHmim][BF_4]$, $[C_3Omim][BF_4]$ and $[C_4mim][BF_4]$, since they have a lower viscosity, which is an important issue in some applications.

Density: In Table 4 we present density data at 25 °C for the prepared RTILs. In general, the new RTIL $[C_n O_m mim][X]$ series have higher densities than the $[C_n mim][X]$ series. The RTILs containing the PF₆⁻ anion have higher densities than those with BF₄⁻ or Cl⁻ anions. As would be expected, a larger alkyl chain on the $[C_n mim]$ series results in a decrease of density (butyl > hexyl > octyl > decyl) (entries 8, 9 and 10 vs entries 13, 14 and 15).

Among the new RTIL $[C_n O_m \min][X]$ series, the densities decrease in the order $[C_2OHmim] > [C_3Omim] > [C_5O_2mim]$ (entries 1 and 2 vs entries 3 and 4 vs entries 6 and 7). The RTILs $[C_3Omim][X]$ and $[C_5O_2mim][PF_6]$ present a very similar density to those from the $[C_nmim][X]$ series with comparable chain size, respectively $[C_4mim][X]$ and $[C_6mim][PF_6]$.

Melting point and glass transition temperature: The solid– liquid phase transition of these RTILs has been studied by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Table 5). One of the features arising from this study is that most of these molten salts are very good glass-formers (they present a weak tendency to crystallise). They can be cooled from the



Figure 1. Viscosity of a series of RTILs as a function of the reciprocal of the temperature

Table 4. Densities at 25 °C of several RTILs.

Entry	Ionic liquid	Density $[gmL^{-1}]$
1	$[C_2OHmim][PF_6]$	1.48
2	[C ₂ OHmim][BF ₄]	1.33
3	[C ₃ Omim][PF ₆]	1.40
4	[C ₃ Omim][BF ₄]	1.26
5	[C ₅ O ₂ mim][Cl]	1.14
6	$[C_5O_2mim][PF_6]$	1.32
7	[C ₅ O ₂ mim][BF ₄]	1.22
8	[C ₄ mim][Cl]	$1.08^{[a]}$
9	$[C_4 mim][PF_6]$	1.31 ^[b]
10	$[C_4 mim][BF_4]$	1.26 ^[c]
11	[C ₆ mim][Cl]	1.03 ^[a]
12	$[C_6 mim][PF_6]$	1.29 ^[a]
13	[C ₈ mim][Cl]	$1.00^{[a]}$
14	$[C_8 mim][PF_6]$	1.19 ^[d]
15	$[C_8 mim][BF_4]$	1.08
16	$[C_{10}mim][BF_4]$	1.04

[a] Reported value;^[3c] [b] 1.36;^[3c] [c] 1.12;^[3c] [d] 1.22.^[3c]

equilibrium liquid state down to low temperatures without crystallising, entering the metastable supercooled liquid state, and suffering a glass transition leading to an out-of-equilibrium glassy state. Consequently, these RTILs, that are easily

- 3673

Table 5. Thermal data of several RTILs obtained by DSC analysis.

Ionic liquid	$T_{c}^{[a]}$	$\Delta C_{\mathbf{p}}^{[b]}$	$\Delta C_{\rm Pm}^{[c]}$	$T_{\ell}^{[d]}$
	[°C]	$[J g^{-1} K^{-1}]$	$[J mol^{-1} K^{-1}]$	[°C]
[C ₂ OHmim][PF ₆]	- 72	0.46	125	
[C ₂ OHmim][Cl]	-111	0.72	117	
[C ₂ OHmim][BF ₄]	-84	0.56	120	
[C ₂ OHmim][TFA]	- 89	0.74	178	
[C ₃ Omim][PF ₆]	_	-	-	26
[C ₃ Omim][Cl]	-60	0.52	92	
[C ₃ Omim][BF ₄]	-88	0.56	128	
[C4OHmim][Br]	- 83	0.63	148	
[C ₅ O ₂ mim][PF ₆]	-69	0.46	151	
[C ₅ O ₂ mim][Cl]	- 85	0.79	174	
[C ₅ O ₂ mim][BF ₄]	-86	0.65	177	
[C ₄ mim][Cl]				41 ^[e]
[C ₄ mim][PF ₆]	$-80^{[e]}$			10 ^[e]
[C ₄ mim][BF ₄]	- 97 ^[e]			$-81^{[e]}$
[C ₆ mim][Cl]	- 75 ^[e]			
[C ₆ mim][PF ₆]	$-78^{[e]}$			$-61^{[e]}$
[C ₆ mim][BF ₄]	$-82.4^{[e]}$			
[C ₈ mim][Cl]	$-87^{[e]}$			
[C ₈ mim][PF ₆]	$-82^{[e]}$			
[C ₈ mim][BF ₄]	$-80.5^{[e]}$			
[C ₁₀ mim][BF ₄]	- 24.7 ^[e]			

[a] Glass transition temperature (T_G) determined as the onset temperature in the heating mode (10 °C min⁻¹); [b] heat capacity jump (ΔC_P); [c] molar heat capacity jump (ΔC_{Pm}); [d] melting temperature (T_i); [e] reported values.^[3a,c]

manipulated in the supercooled liquid state, show a strong DSC signature of a glass transition (on cooling, as well as on heating). Among the ionic liquids studied, only $[C_3Omim][PF_6]$ readily crystallises on cooling. As a consequence, no glass transition signal was observed in this case. For a heating rate of $10 \,^\circ C \min^{-1}$, the onset of the melting peak appeared at $15 \,^\circ C$, and the maximum of this peak occurred at $26 \,^\circ C$. The molar melting enthalpy was found to be $\Delta H_m = 14.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.

The DSC thermogram recorded on heating of some of the studied molten salts, namely of $[C_3Omim][Cl]$ and $[C_5O_2mim][PF_6]$, show three different signals: the heat flow jump arising from the glass transition, a broad exothermic signal caused by cold recrystallisation (crystallisation on heating), and the melting (endothermic) peak. In most cases, the melting peak of these ionic liquids appears above room temperature. This means that, when manipulated as liquids near room temperature, they are, in fact, in the metastable supercooled liquid state, rather than in the equilibrium liquid state.

Another feature of the room temperature ionic liquids studied in the present work is that they show a strong jump in the molar heat capacity associated with the glass transition. In fact, the sigmoidal change in the heat flux, that is the DSC signature of the glass transition, arises from a change in the heat capacity, $\Delta C_{\rm P}$, when the sample is heated from the glassy state to the metastable supercooled liquid (or cooled from the supercooled liquid to the glassy state). The jump in the molar heat capacity, $\Delta C_{\rm Pm}$, is higher than 90 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹ for all the RTILs studied in the present work. These high values of $\Delta C_{\rm Pm}$ indicate that many degrees of freedom are released on heating above $T_{\rm g}$. A very high value of the heat capacity jump at the glass transition appears as a specific feature of ionic liquids. Indeed, values of $\Delta C_{\rm Pm}$ of 23 JK⁻¹mol⁻¹ and 53 JK⁻¹mol⁻¹ are reported respectively for glycerol ($T_{\rm g} = 185$ K) and toluene ($T_{\rm g} = 113$ K),^[17] while for polymeric systems we have $\Delta C_{\rm Pm} = 10$ JK⁻¹mol⁻¹ for polyethylene ($T_{\rm g} = 140$ K) and $\Delta C_{\rm Pm} = 36$ JK⁻¹mol⁻¹ for poly(vinyl acetate) ($T_{\rm g} = 302$ K).^[18] The high $\Delta C_{\rm Pm}$ at $T_{\rm g}$ found for ionic liquids arises from the fact the each molecular unit is in fact composed by two sub-units (the cation and the anion) that have, in the supercooled liquid state, an appreciable relative mobility.

No apparent correlation can be found between the heat capacity jump, $\Delta C_{\rm Pm}$, at the glass transition, and the glass transition temperature or the molar mass of the ionic liquids.

Solubility of inorganic salts in RTILs: Recently, ionic liquids have often been discussed as promising solvents for green chemistry and clean synthesis.

The use of RTILs as a new recyclable reaction media for a considerable range of organic transformations, including transition metal catalysis has already been demonstrated. Nonetheless, the use of RTILs has been mainly restricted to the $[C_n mim][X]$ series. Meanwhile, in our experience, the extension of this medium to other organic transformations suffers from the drawback of the considerably low solubility of some substrates and catalysts on the RTIL $[C_n mim][X]$ series. To circumvent this limitation, we performed a comparative study of solubility of Li, Hg and La chlorides between the $[C_n mim][X]$ and $[C_n O_m mim][X]$ series as a prospective study. The three salts tested were chosen in order to allow us to predict the potential solubility of each RTIL of different types of a diverse range of transition metals and inorganic species that could eventually be used in different applications.

Solubility data for LiCl, $HgCl_2$ and $LaCl_3$ in several RTILs is presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Observed solubility constants (K_s) of LiCl, HgCl₂ and LaCl₃ in several RTILs.

Entry	Ionic liquid	$K_{\rm s}^{\rm [a]} ({\rm LiCl})^{\rm [b]}$	$K_{\rm s}^{\rm [a]} ({\rm HgCl_2})^{\rm [c]}$	$K_{\rm s}^{\rm [a]} ({\rm LaCl}_3)^{\rm [c]}$
1	[C ₂ OHmim][PF ₆]	144.47	44.64	32.47
2	[C ₂ OHmim][BF ₄]	18.46	84.73	54.01
3	[C ₃ Omim][PF ₆]	12.44	50.13	37.61
4	[C ₃ Omim][BF ₄]	14.43	220.86	180.27
5	$[C_5O_2mim][Cl]$	9.98	295.34	379.23
6	$[C_5O_2mim][PF_6]$	35.52	147.48	97.22
7	$[C_5O_2mim][BF_4]$	21.36	174.17	292.46
8	$[C_4 mim][PF_6]$	12.08	4.06	6.58
9	[C ₄ mim][BF ₄]	15.54	41.41	10.92
10	$[C_8 mim][PF_6]$	35.32	32.98	8.49
11	$[C_8 mim][BF_4]$	56.02	35.92	53.25
12	[C.mim][BE.]	12.64	2.12	47.12

[a] Observed K_s (10⁻⁶ g of salt g⁻¹ of RTIL); [b] determined by flame photometry; [c] determined by inductively coupled plasma spectroscopy (ICP).

We found that LiCl has, in general, a low solubility in the RTILs studied, except for $[C_2OHmim][PF_6]$ (entry 1). The solubility of LiCl in the RTIL with $[C_3Omim]$ cation structure is similar to the solubility in the RTIL with the $[C_4mim]$ cation structure (entries 3 and 4 vs entries 8 and 9). The same is observed between the RTILs $[C_5O_2mim][PF_6]$ and $[C_8mim][PF_6]$ (entry 6 vs entry 10).

3674 —

Our results show that the solubility of LiCl in RTIL $[C_5O_2mim][BF_4]$ is less than half of that in the RTIL $[C_8 mim][BF_4]$, which is unexpected on account of the presence of the ether functionality (entry 7 vs entry 11). In general, we did not detect a considerable difference in solubility for LiCl between the new RTIL [C_nO_mmim][X] and the $[C_n mim][X]$ series. Among the RTILs, $[C_2 OH]$ mim][PF_6] is a notable exception which appears to be the appropriate RTIL to solubilise LiCl and comparable alkaline metals.

With regard to the solubility of HgCl₂, we verified that the new RTILs $[C_n O_m mim][X]$ allow a higher dissolution than the $[C_n mim][X]$ series: both $[C_2 OHmim][PF_6]$ and $[C_3 Omim]$ -[PF₆] solubilise an amount of HgCl₂ eleven or more times higher than $[C_4 mim][PF_6]$ (entries 1 and 3 vs entry 8). The RTIL [C₃Omim][BF₄] was found to solubilise HgCl₂ three and five times better than the RTILs [C₂OHmim][BF₄] and [C₄mim][BF₄], respectively (entry 4 vs entries 2 and 9). In the same line, RTILs containing the $[C_5O_2mim]$ cation solubilise HgCl₂ five to six times better than those having the $[C_8 mim]$ cation (entries 5 and 6 vs entries 10 and 11). From the above observations, we can conclude that the new RTILs (in particular [C₃Omim][BF₄], [C₅O₂mim][BF₄] and [C₅O₂mim][PF₆]) are more efficient at solubilising HgCl₂ and possibly other transition metals.

Similar to HgCl₂, LaCl₃ is more soluble in the $[C_nO_m$ mim][X] series than in the $[C_n mim][X]$ series: both $[C_2 OH$ mim][PF₆] and [C₃Omim][PF₆] solubilise LaCl₃ five or more times better than [C₄mim][PF₆] (entries 1 and 3 vs entry 8). LaCl₃ has a solubility in [C₃Omim][BF₄] which is three to sixteen times higher than in $[C_2OHmim][BF_4]$ and $[C_4 mim][BF_4]$, respectively (entry 4 vs entries 2 and 9). The RTILs containing the $[C_5O_2mim]$ cation solubilise LaCl₃ five to eleven times better than those containing the $[C_8 mim]$ cation (entries 6 and 7 vs entries 10 and 11). From the above results obtained for LaCl₃, we expect that the most appropriate RTILs to solubilise lanthanides are $[C_5O_2mim][BF_4]$ and $[C_3Omim][BF_4]$.

In general, the solubility behaviour of HgCl₂ and LaCl₃ is similar for the RTILs $[C_n O_m mim][X]$ and $[C_n mim][X]$. The RTILs containing BF₄⁻ are better solvents than the corresponding RTILs with the PF₆⁻ anion. The cation structure of the RTIL also influences the solubility of HgCl₂ and LaCl₃, which increases with chain size: $[C_2OHmim] < [C_3Omim] <$ $[C_5O_2mim]$ and $[C_4mim] < [C_8mim]$. In contrast, LiCl presents very different solubility behaviour in the RTILs studied.

The solubility profile presented here for LiCl, HgCl₂ and LaCl₃ should be valid for alkaline, transition metals and lanthanides salts, respectively.

Conclusion

The new $[C_n O_m mim][X]$ RTILs described here show a set of properties (solubility in common solvents, viscosity, solidliquid phase transition, density and solubility of inorganic salts) that could extend the range of applications this new media has to offer. We have shown that the presence of hydroxyl or ether functional groups promotes remarkable

changes on the solubility of inorganic salts, arising from the possibility of new interactions occurring. Taking advantage of the general properties of RTILs and the presence of these extra potential complexing groups, new specific applications of the RTILs [C_nO_mmim][X] series presented here are expected to emerge.

Experimental Section

General remarks: All glassware was oven-dried and cooled in a desiccator (P2O5 desiccant) prior to use. Commercially supplied reagents were used as supplied.

All aqueous solutions were prepared with distilled water.

The room temperature ionic liquids (RTIL) 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate [C4mim][PF6], 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate [C4mim][BF4], 1-n-octyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate [C₈mim][PF₆], 1-n-octyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate [C₈mim][BF₄], 1-n-decyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate [C10 mim][PF6], 1-n-decyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate [C10mim][BF4] were prepared according to reported procedures.[1c, 14]

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported downfield in ppm from a tetramethylsilane reference. [D6]toluene was used as an internal reference in the case of neat samples. IR spectra were recorded on a Mattson Instruments model Satellite FTIR as thinly dispersed films. The samples for elemental analysis were performed by Laboratório de Análises at Instituto Superior Técnico (Lisbon). The differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) experiments were performed with a 2920 MDSC system from TA Instruments Inc. The water content of each RTIL was determined by a volumetric Aquastar Karl Fischer titration. Each RTIL sample (2 mL scale) used for elemental analysis and DSC experiments was further purified by flash column chromatography (eluent: dichloromethane) followed by removal of the volatile components under high vacuum (6×10^{-6} mbar) at room temperature for 24 h.

Viscosity: The viscosity of each RTIL was measured with a Brookfield, Model RVTDV-II viscosimeter. For each analysis, an 8-mL sample was used and the measurements were performed in duplicate. The temperature of the samples was maintained to $\pm 0.1\,^\circ\mathrm{C}$ by means of an external temperature controller.

Density: The density of each RTIL was determined with a picnometer. For each analysis a 1-mL sample was used and the mass of that volume of liquid was determined. The measurements were repeated three times and the average value is reported. All measurements were taken at room temperature $(25 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$.

Solid-liquid phase transition analysis: The calorimetric measurements were performed with a 2920 MDSC system from TA Instruments Inc. Dry high-purity He gas with a flow rate of 30 cm³min⁻¹ was purged through the sample. Cooling was accomplished with the liquid nitrogen cooling accessory (LNCA) which provides automatic and continuous programmed sample cooling down to -150 °C.

The baseline was calibrated by scanning the temperature domain of the experiments with an empty pan. The temperature calibration was performed taking the onset of the endothermic melting peak of several calibration standards: *n*-decane ($T_m = 243.75$ K), *n*-octadecane ($T_m =$ 301.77 K), hexatriacontane $(T_m = 347.30 \text{ K})$, indium $(T_m = 430.61 \text{ K})$ and tin ($T_{\rm m} = 506.03$ K). The organic standards were high-purity Fluka products, while the metal standards were supplied by TA Instruments Inc. The enthalpy was calibrated with indium (melting enthalpy $\Delta H_{\rm m} = 28.71 \text{ Jg}^{-1}$).

Solubility of inorganic salts in RTILs: The solubility of inorganic salts in each RTIL was measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma spectroscopy (ICP) for Hg and La, and by flame photometry (Corning flame photometer 410) for Li.

For preparation of the samples we used 1.00 g of each ionic liquid followed by the addition of excess inorganic salt (HgCl₂, LiCl and LaCl₃). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h and then filtered, weighed (range: 150-380 mg) and diluted with distilled water (100 mL). By means of this procedure we prepared the initial solutions that, in a number of cases, were diluted to the calibration range of the method used.

FULL PAPER

Preparation of ionic liquids

[C2OHmim][Cl]: 1-Chloroethanol (64 mL, 0.95 mol) and 1- methylimidazole (50 mL, 0.63 mol) were added to a round-bottomed flask fitted with a reflux condenser for 24 h at 80 °C with stirring until two phases formed. The top phase, containing unreacted starting material, was decanted and diethyl ether (50 mL) was added with thorough mixing. The diethyl ether was decanted followed by addition of fresh solvent and this step was repeated twice. The bottom phase was washed with diethyl ether (4×25 mL), heated at 80 °C and stirred under vacuum (0.5 mmHg) for 2 d. The product was obtained as a slightly yellow liquid which solidified on cooling (84.00 g, 82 %). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O, 25 °C): δ = 3.80 (s, 3 H), 3.84 (d, J(H,H) = 4.6 Hz, 2H) 4.22 (t, J(H,H) = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 8.66 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O, 25 °C): δ = 35.73, 51.52, 59.79, 122.43, 123.59, 136.37; IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm max}\!=\!648.5,~751.1,~840.8,~945.1,~1068.9,~1167.9,$ 1339.9, 1452.3, 1574.4, 1643.1, 2888.3, 2961.0, 3160.8, 3392.2 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₆H₁₂N₂OCl (163.63): C 44.04, H 7.30, N 17.12; found: C 44.08, H 6.92, N 17.10.

[C₂OHmim][PF₆]: [C₂OHmim][Cl] (25.00 g, 0.15 mol) was transferred to a plastic Erlenmeyer flask (250 mL). Acetone (150 mL) was added followed by KPF₆ (31.00 g, 0.17 mol). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting waxy solid precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with acetone (2 × 100 mL). The organic layer was collected, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and the solvent removed in vacuum to give the product [C₂OHmim][PF₆] (37.00 g, 91%) as a colourless liquid; water content of 0.85 µg (H₂O)mL⁻¹ (RTIL). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.91 (t, J(H,H) = 9.8 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (t, J(H,H) = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 4.74 (s, 3H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 8.69 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 36.10, 52.00, 60.20, 122.90, 124.10, 136.80; IR (film): \bar{v}_{max} = 651.8, 703.1, 750.2, 839.5, 943.0, 1068.4, 1170.2, 1247.3, 1360.3, 1452.3, 1579.2, 1705.0, 2896.2, 2967.5, 3172.3, 3412.6, 3591.4 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₆H₁₂N₂OPF₆ (273.14): C 26.48, H 4.07, N 10.29; found: C 26.53, H 4.08, N 10.20.

[C₂OHmim][BF₄]: [C₂OHmim][Cl] (25.00 g, 0.15 mol) was transferred to a plastic Erlenmeyer flask (250 mL). Acetone (150 mL) was added followed by NaBF₄ (19.00 g, 0.17 mol). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting waxy solid precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with acetone (2 × 100 mL). The combined layer was collected, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and the solvent removed in vacuum to give the product [C₂OHmim][BF₄] (30.00 g, 93%) as a colourless liquid; water content of 4.68 µg (H₂O) mL⁻¹ (RTIL). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 3.75 (m, 2H), 4.16 (t, *J*(H,H) = 10.0 Hz, 2H), 4.61 (s, 3H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 8.58 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 35.10, 51.50, 59.70, 122.40, 123.60, 136.30; IR (film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 652.2, 702.7, 755.2, 846.2, 1059.1, 1169.6, 1252.2, 1287.3, 1340.3, 1452.9, 1575.4, 1705.0, 2894.3, 2966.2, 3123.4, 3164.8, 3546.5 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₆H₁₂N₂OBF₄· 0.5H₂0 (223.99): C 32.17, H 5.60, N 12.51; found: C 32.31, H 5.36, N 12.53.

[C₂OHmim][TFA]: [C₂OHmim][CI] (3.00 g, 0.013 mol) was transferred to a plastic Erlenmeyer flask (1000 mL). Acetone (20 mL) was added followed by NaCF₃CO₂ (2.81 g, 0.021 mol). This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting waxy solid precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with acetone (2 × 25 mL). The organic layer was collected, refiltered and the solvent removed in vacuum to give the product [C₂OHmim][TFA] (2.81 g, 94%) as a colourless liquid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 3.73 (m, 2H), 4.15 (brs, 2H), 4.99 (s, 3H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 8.86 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 36.06, 48.36, 60.06, 119.13, 123.12, 135.16; IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ = 721.4, 833.1, 945.3, 1075.9, 1135.3, 1341.1, 1426.7, 1574.2, 1689.7, 2885.9, 2964.3, 3286.3 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₈H₁₂N₂O₃F₃ • 0.5H₂O (250.19): C 38.37, H 5.18, N 11.11; found: C 38.22, H 5.20, N 11.17.

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & [\mathbf{C_4OHmim}][\mathbf{Br}]: \mbox{ The same procedure was followed as that described above for [C_2OHmim][Cl], except for the use of bromobutanol (133 mL, 0.95 mol) instead of chloroethanol. The product [C_4OHmim][Br] (114.00 g, 79 %) was obtained as a colourless liquid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): <math>\delta = 0.68$$
 (brs, 2 H), 0.94 (m, 2 H), 2.31 (m, 2 H), 2.68 (brs, 2 H), 3.23 (s, 1 H), 4.60 (s, 3 H), 6.87 (s, 1 H), 6.94 (s, 1 H), 8.46 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): $\delta = 26.23$, 28.44, 36.07, 44.81, 60.05, 119.13, 123.15, 135.17; IR (film): $\tilde{v}_{max} = 625.0$, 762.1, 831.6, 903.3, 1086.3, 1171.6, 1283.6, 1331.0, 1380.4, 1450.0, 1550.3, 1581.7, 1639.2, 2616.6, 2837.8, 2946.1, 3399.5 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C_8H_{16}N_2OBr (235.90): C 40.70, H 6.78, N 11.87; found: C 40.65, H 6.90, N 11.41. \end{split}

[C₃Omim][CI]: The same procedure was followed as that described above for [C₂OHmim][CI], except for the use of 2-chloroethylmethyl ether (87 mL, 0.95 mol) instead of chloroethanol. The product [C₃Omim][CI] (89.00 g, 80%) was obtained as a slightly yellow oil that solidified on cooling. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O, 25°C): δ = 3.28 (s, 3H), 3.73 (t, J(H,H) = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.29 (t, J(H,H) = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 8.64 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₂O, 25°C): δ = 35.53, 48.73, 58.09, 69.72, 122.49, 123.55, 136.40; IR (film): \vec{v}_{max} = 653.9, 760.2, 833.5, 968.3, 1013.8, 1083.2, 1171.0, 1285.1, 1453.1, 1572.9, 1572.9, 1640.4, 2834.3, 3433.7 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₇H₁₄N₂OCI (177.65): C 47.33, H 7.90, N 15.75; found: C 47.29, H 7.65, N 15.55.

[C₃Omim][PF₆]: The same procedure was followed as that described above for [C₂OHmim][PF₆]: [C₃Omim][Cl] (25.00 g, 0.14 mol) and KPF₆ salt (29.00 g, 0.16 mol) were used, and the product [C₃Omim][PF₆] (37.00 g, 93%) was obtained as a colourless liquid; water content of 1.74 µg (H₂O)mL⁻¹ (RTIL); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 3.27 (s, 3H), 3.73 (br s, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 4.30 (br s, 2H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 8.42 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 36.89, 50.61, 59.19, 70.99, 124.27, 124.73, 138.12; IR (film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 652.8, 749.6, 836.9, 968.7, 1013.8, 1084.6, 1169.8, 1226.5, 1340.5, 1453.5, 1574.0, 2839.9, 2902.6, 2943.0, 3125.7, 3170.4, 3595.1, 3667.8 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₇H₁₄N₂OPF₆ (287.17): C 29.38, H 4.58, N 9.79; found: C 29.30, H 4.60, N 9.80.

[C₃Omim][BF₄]: The same procedure was followed as that described above for [C₂OHmim][BF₄]: [C₃Omim][Cl] (25.00 g, 0.14 mol) and NaBF₄ salt (17.00 g, 0.16 mol) were used, and the product [C₃Omim][BF₄] (31.00 g, 96%) was obtained as a colourless liquid; water content of 2.55 µg (H₂O)mL⁻¹ (RTIL). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 3.27 (s, 3H), 3.72 (brs, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 4.28 (brs, 2H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 8.50 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 38.06, 51.27, 60.63, 72.25, 125.03, 126.09, 139.12; IR (film): $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ = 653.5, 756.7, 835.2, 1075.3,1171.3, 1286.5, 1340.1, 1454.3, 1574.4, 2838.7, 2902.6, 2943.1, 3123.2, 3163.1, 3563.8, 3635.4 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₇H₁₄N₂OBF₄· 0.5H₂O(238.01): C 35.29, H 6.30, N 11.76; found: C 35.67, H 6.12, N 11.81.

[C₅O₂mim][Cl]: The same procedure was followed as that described above for [C₂OHmim][Cl], except for the use of 1-chloro-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)-ethane (98 mL, 0.95 mol) instead of 1-chloroethanol. The product [C₅O₂mim][Cl] (104.00 g, 75%) was obtained as a slightly yellow liquid; water content of 4.31 µg (H₂O) mL⁻¹ (RTIL). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25°C): δ = 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.53 (brs, 2H), 3.65 (brs, 2H), 3.90 (brs, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 4.44 (brs, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 8.92 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25°C): δ = 36.31, 50.06, 58.57, 68.94, 71.43, 72.00, 123.52, 123.9, 137.71; IR (film): \vec{v}_{max} = 654.9, 763.1, 846.8, 920.3, 1025.4, 1104.6, 1171.6, 1246.9, 1297.5, 1355.1, 1453.5, 1572.3, 1665.2, 1713.5, 2878.8, 2980.7, 3067.2, 3144.2, 3381.4 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₉H₁₈N₂O₂Cl-0.2 H₂O (225.31): C 47.93, H 8.08, N 12.43; found: C 47.90, H 8.13, N 12.80.

[C₅O₂mim][PF₆]: The same procedure was followed as that described above for [C₂OHmim][PF₆]: [C₅O₂mim][Cl] (25.00 g, 0.11 mol) and KPF₆ salt (23.00 g, 0.13 mol) were used, and the product was obtained [C₅O₂mim][PF₆] (34.00 g, 94%) as a colourless liquid; water content of 2.02 µg (H₂O)mL⁻¹ (RTIL). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 3.48 (s, 3 H), 3.71 (brs, 2 H), 3.82 (brs, 2 H), 4.05 (brs, 2 H), 4.10 (s, 3 H), 4.51 (brs, 2 H), 7.59 (s, 1 H), 7.68 (s, 1 H), 8.73 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 35.26, 49.05, 57.45, 67.88, 69.47, 70.88, 122.46, 122.90, 136.45; IR (film): $\bar{\nu}_{max}$ = 653.2, 750.9, 842.7, 1025.0, 1104.2, 1170.4, 1198.7, 1247.9, 1299.2, 1354.2, 1435.7, 1575.2, 1620.3, 2884.6, 2929.7, 3122.8, 3167.9, 3413.0, 3645.4 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₉H₁₈N₂O₂PF₆·H₂0 (349.22): C 30.95, H 5.73, N 8.02; found: C 30.72, H 5.35, N 8.05.

[C₃O₂mim][BF₄]: The same procedure was followed as that described above for [C₂OHmim][BF₄]: [C₅O₂mim][Cl] (25.00 g, 0.11 mol) and NaBF₄ salt (14.00 g, 0.13 mol) were used, and the product was obtained [C₅O₂mim][BF₄] (29.00 g, 97%) as a colourless liquid; water content of 2.30 µg (H₂O) mL⁻¹ (RTIL). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 3.31 (s, 3 H), 3.53 (brs, 2 H), 3.65 (brs, 2 H), 3.89 (brs, 2 H), 4.01 (s, 3 H), 4.45 (brs, 2 H), 7.58 (s, 1 H), 7.67 (s, 1 H), 8.93 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, neat, 25 °C): δ = 35.20, 49.95, 57.46, 67.83, 69.40, 70.90, 122.41, 122.84, 136.61; IR (film): \tilde{v}_{max} = 653.6, 757.1, 845.8, 923.9, 1063.2, 1171.7, 1248.3, 1286.5, 1354.0, 1454.1, 1574.6, 1631.1, 1719.0, 2885.4, 2926.5, 3120.5, 3161.2, 3394.3, 3609.7 cm⁻¹; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₉H₁₈N₂O₂BF₄ (273.06): C 39.59, H 6.60, N 10.26; found: C 39.40, H 6.46, N 10.31.

3676 —

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (project PRAXIS/C/QUI/10069/98 and Ph.D. grant for J.M.N.R., ref. PRAXIS XXI/BD/18286/98) and the European Union (project QLK3-1999-01213) for financial support.

- a) J. S. Wilkes, J. A. Levisky, R. A. Wilson, C. L. Hussey, *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, 21, 1263–1264; b) P. B. Hitchcock, K. R. Seddon, T. Welton, J. *Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 1993, 2639–2643; c) P. A. Z. Suarez, J. E. L. Dullius, S. Einloft, R. F. de Souza, J. Dupont, *Polyhedron* 1996, 15, 1217–1219.
- [2] Reviews: a) K. R. Seddon, *Kinet. Catal.* **1996**, *37*, 693–697; b) T. Welton, *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 2071–2084; c) M. Freemantle, *Chem. Eng. News* **2000**, *May 15*, 37–50; d) J. Dupont, C. S. Consorti, J. Spencer, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *11*, 337–344; e) P. Wasserscheid, K. Wilhelm, *Angew. Chem.* **2000**, *112*, 3926–3945; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3772–3789; f) R. Sheldon, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2399–2407.
- [3] a) J. D. Holbrey, K. R. Seddon, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1999, 13, 2133–2139; b) A. S. Larsen, J. D. Holbrey, F. S. Tham, C. A. Reed, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7264–7272; c) J. G. Huddleston, A. E. Visser, W. M. Reichert, H. D. Willauer, G. A. Broker, R. D. Rogers, Green Chem. 2001, 3, 156–164; d) J. Pernak, A. Czepukowicz, R. Poz'niak, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2001, 40, 2379–2383.
- [4] L. A. Blanchard, D. Hancu, E. J.Beckman, J. F. Brennecke, *Nature* 1999, 399, 28–29.
- [5] a) R. M. Lau, F. Van Rantwijk, K. R. Seddon, R. A. Sheldon, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4189-4191; b) S. H. Schöfer, N. Kaftzik, P. Wassercheid, U. Kragl, Chem. Commun. 2001, 425-426; c) K.-W. Kim, B. Song, M.-Y. Choi, M.-J. Kim, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1507-1509.
- [6] For some recent examples see: a) C. J. Adams, M. J. Earle, K. R. Seddon, Green Chem. 2000, 2, 21-23; b) C. E. Song, E. J. Roh, Chem. Commun. 2000, 837-838; c) G. S. Owens, M. M. Abu-Omar, Chem. Commun. 2000, 1165-1166; d) J. Howarth, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 6627-6629; e) A. J. Carmichael, D. M. Haddleton, S. A. F. Bon, K. R. Seddon, Chem. Commun. 2000, 1237-1238; f) F. Zulfiqar, T. Kitazume, Green Chem. 2000, 2, 137-139; g) F. Zulfiqar, T. Kitazume, Green Chem. 2000, 2, 296-297; h) C. J. Mathews, P. J. Smith, T. Welton, Chem. Commun. 2000, 1249-1250; i) C. E. Song, C. R. Oh, E. J. Roh, D. J. Choo, Chem. Commun. 2000, 1743-1744; j) J. Howarth, A. Dallas, Molecules 2000, 5, 851-855; k) J. Dupont, P. A. Z. Suarez, A. P. Umpierre, R. F. de Souza, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2000, 11, 293-297; 1) J. Howarth, P. James, J. Dai, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 10319-10321; m) S. T. Handy, X. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 233-236; n) J. Peng, Y. Deng, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 403-405; o) P. Wasserscheid, H. Waffenschmidt, P. Machnitzki, K. W. Kottsieper, O. Stelzer, Chem. Commun. 2001, 451-452; p) J. N. Rosa, C. A. M. Afonso, A. G. Santos, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 4189-4193; q) L. C.

Branco, C. A. M. Afonso, *Tetrahedron* 2001, *57*, 4405–4410; r) V.
Calò, A. Nacci, L. Lopez, A. Napola, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 4701–4703; s) J. Peng, Y. Deng, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 5917–5919; t) H. Hagiwara, Y. Shimizu, T. Hoshi, T. Suzuki, M. Ando, K. Ohkubo, C. Yokoyama, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 4349–4351; u) D. W. Morrison, D. C. Forbes, J. H. Davis, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 6053–6055; v) P. Dyson, D. Ellis, T. Welton, *Can. J. Chem.* 2001, *79*, 705–708; x) R. C. Buijsman, E. van Vuuren, J. G. Sterrenburg, *Org. Lett.* 2001, *3*, 3785–3787; y) J. M. Fraile, J. I. Garcia, C. I. Herreías, J. A. Mayoral, D. Carrié, M. Vaultier, *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* 2001, *12*, 1891–1894; z) A. Berger, R. F. de Souza, M. R. Delgado, J. Dupont, *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* 2001, *12*, 1825–1828.

- [7] a) R. A. Brown, P. Pollet, E. McKoon, C. A. Eckert, C. L. Liotta, P. G. Jessop, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1254–1255; b) M. F. Sellin, P. B. Webb, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, Chem. Commun. 2001, 781–782.
- [8] A. G. Fadeev, M. M. Meagher, Chem. Commun. 2001, 295-296.
- [9] a) J. G. Huddleston, H. D. Willauer, R. P. Swatloski, A. E. Visser, R. D. Rogers, *Chem. Commun.* 1998, 1765–1766; b) S. G. Cull, J. D. Holbrey, V. Vargas-Mora, K. R. Seddon, G. J. Lye, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2000, 69, 227–233; c) A. E. Visser, R. P. Swatloski, W. M. Reichert, S. T. Griffin, R. D. Rogers, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2000, 39, 3596–3604; d) A. E. Visser, R. P. Swatloski, W. M. Reichert, R. Mayton, S. Sheff, A. Wierzbicki, J. H. Davies, R. D. Rogers, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 135–136.
- [10] a) L. A. Blanchard, J. F. Brennecke, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2001, 40, 287–292; b) F. Liu, M. B. Abrams, R. T. Baker, W. Tumas, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 433–434.
- [11] D. W. Armstrong, L. He, Y.-S. Liu, Anal. Chem. 1999, 71, 3873-3876.
- [12] a) C. Nanjundiah, S. F. McDevitt, V. R. Koch, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 1997, 144, 3392-3397; b) P. A. Z. Suarez, V. M. Selbach, J. E. L. Dullius, S. Einloft, D. S. Azambuja, R. F. de Souza, J. Dupont, *Electrochim. Acta* 1997, 42, 2533-2535; c) P. A. Z. Suarez, S. Einloft, J. E. L. Dullius C. M. S. Piatnicki, D. S. Azambuja, R. F. de Souza, J. Dupont, *J. Chim. Phys.* 1998, 95, 1626-1639.
- [13] T. Schäfer, C. M. Rodrigues, C. A. M. Afonso, J. G. Crespo, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 1622–1623.
- [14] a) T. Kitazume, F. Zulfiqar, G. Tanaka, *Green Chem.* 2000, 2, 133– 136; b) A. E. Visser, R. P. Swatloski, R. D. Rogers, *Green Chem.* 2000, 2, 1–4.
- [15] K. R. Seddon, A. Stark, M. -J. Torres, Pure Appl. Chem. 2000, 72, 2275-2287.
- [16] A. B. McEwen, H. L. Ngo, K. LeCompte, J. L. Goldman, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1999, 146, 1687–1695.
- [17] P. G. Debenedetti, *Metastable Liquids: Concepts and Principles*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, **1996**.
- [18] L. H. Sperling, *Introduction to Physical Polymer Science*, Wiley, New York, **1992**, Chapter 8.

Received: January 14, 2002 [F3794]